

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIR DANIELLE EVANS, CLERK ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN DREW KANE, (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2019-88 Site: 111 Cedar Street

Date of Decision: September 18, 2019
Decision: Approved with Conditions
Data File Leith City Cleable September 18

Date Filed with City Clerk: September 25, 2019

ZBA DECISION

Site: 111 Cedar Street

Applicant / Owner Name: 111 Cedar Street, LLC

Applicant / Owner Address: 103 Hemenway Street, Suite B2, Boston, MA 02115

Agent Name: Richard G. DiGirolamo, Esq.

Agent Address: 424 Broadway, Somerville, MA 02145

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant and Owner, 111 Cedar Street, LLC, seeks a special permit under SZO §9.13.c to allow a driveway to straddle the lot line to serve as a parking space. RB Zone. Ward 5.

Zoning District/Ward:

Zoning Approval Sought:

Date of Application:

RB Zone. Ward 5.

SZO §9.13.c

July 25, 2019

<u>Date(s) of Public Hearing:</u> September 18, 2019 Date of Decision: September 18, 2019

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Case number ZBA 2019-88 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Council Chambers of City Hall at 93 Highland Avenue. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. On September 18, 2019, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



I.PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is to re-install a driveway that would straddle the lot line (of 115 Cedar Street, which is located to the left of subject property) to serve as a parking space that could accommodate two motor vehicles in tandem.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO 9.13):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

SZO §9.13.c requires a special permit, "...to allow a driveway to straddle the lot line and serve a parking space or a loading bay on two (2) or more lots; or to allow two or more uses to share a common driveway, in each case provided a binding agreement, satisfactory in form to the SPGA and the City Solicitor, is executed and is filed in the Registry of Deeds of Middlesex County."

The Applicant has submitted an easement agreement between the subject property owner and the owner of 115 Cedar Street. The Board finds the easement agreement to be satisfactory. As of the publication of the Planning Staff Report, the City Solicitor has not stated any objections to the proposed easement agreement. It is conditioned that the easement agreement be filed with the Registry of Deeds of Middlesex County prior to the issuance of a Driveway Permit from the Engineering Department.

In considering a special permit under §9.13 of the SZO "the SPGA may grant such a special permit only when consistent with the purposes set forth in Section 9.1, and upon reaching the findings and determinations set forth in Section 5.1.4". The Board finds that granting the requested Special Permit is consistent with the purposes of SZO §9.1 and will not cause detriment to increased traffic volumes, traffic congestion of queuing of vehicles, changes in the type of traffic, change in traffic patterns and access to the site, reduction in on-street parking, or unsafe conflicts of motor vehicles and pedestrian traffic.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to lessen congestion in the streets; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other



dangers; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RB district, which is, "to establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

Surrounding Neighborhood: The subject parcel is located on Cedar Street between the blocks of Hudson Street and Highland Avenue. The surrounding structures are similar in size, scale, and type.

Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility): The proposed driveway will be constructed of a grass paver system that will have environmental and aesthetic improvements. The proposal will also reduce permeable walkways on the site in favor of added landscaping that will slightly decrease the landscaping percentage to 25.2%, which is just above the minimum requirement of 25% in the RB zone. The parking space will be grass pavers and look like landscaping; however, the definition of landscaping in the SZO does not permit areas of vehicular use to count toward the landscaping percentage.

- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods.

III. DECISION

Present and sitting were, Susan Fontano, Danielle Evans, Anne Brockelman, Elaine Severino, and Drew Kane. Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the requested special permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. The Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request **WITH CONDITIONS**. The following conditions were attached:



#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes	
	Approval is for the creation of a driveway that will straddle the lot line. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	ISD/Pln g.		
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission				
	July 25, 2019	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office				
	September 3, 2019	Plans submitted to OSPCD (SK-1.1)				
	September 11, 2019	Easement agreement				
	Any changes to the approved site plan that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval.					
Site						
2	Landscaping shall be installed and maintained in compliance with the American Nurserymen's Association Standards;		Perpetual	Plng. / ISD		
Mis	cellaneous			•	•	
3	The Applicant, its successors and/or assigns, shall be responsible for maintenance of both the building and all onsite amenities, including landscaping, fencing, lighting, parking areas and storm water systems, ensuring they are clean, well kept and in good and safe working order.		Cont.	ISD		
Public Safety						
4	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.		CO	FP		
5	To the extent possible, all extent to the subject property, cast ligintrude, interfere or spill onto	СО	Plng.			
Fina	al Sign-Off			1	_	
6	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		Final sign off	Plng.		
7	The easement agreement shall be filed with the with the Registry of Deeds of Middlesex County prior to the issuance of a Driveway Permit from the Engineering Department		Driveway Permit.	Eng.		



Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Susan Fontano, <i>Chair</i> Danielle Evans, <i>Clerk</i> Anne Brockelman Elaine Severino Drew Kane (Alt.)
Attest, by Planner: Alexander Mello	
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40.	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance sha certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed at Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indeed of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	eter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pobearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been frecorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indee of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will revunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner the of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re- Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wit and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	h any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismiss FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the there has been an appeal filed.	City Clerk, or sed or denied.



Signed___

<u>City Clerk</u> Date